

Evaluating the Efficacy of Early Intervention Programs for Children with Developmental Disorders

Moses Adeleke Adeoye ¹, Jamiu Temitope Sulaimon², Samuel Femi Iliasu³, Rebecca Omotolani Fawole⁴

¹ Al-Hikmah University, Ilorin, Nigeria; princeadelekm@gmail.com

² University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria; sulaimonjamiu7991@gmail.com

³ Carolina University, Winston-Salem, North Carolina, USA; iliasuf@carolinau.edu

⁴ University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria; tolany4u@gmail.com

IDAROTUNA: Jurnal Administrative
Science

Vol 6 No 1 May 2025

<https://doi.org/10.54471/idarotuna.v6i1.128>

Received: March 20, 2025

Accepted: April 25, 2025

Published: May 29, 2025

Publisher's Note: Program Study Office Administrative stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2025 by the authors.
Submitted for possible open access
publication under the terms and
conditions of the Creative Commons
Attribution (CC BY) license
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract : This paper explores the critical need for standardised diagnostic methods and comprehensive early intervention programs for children with developmental disorders. Recognising the variability in diagnostic practices and the significant disparities in access to support services, the paper advocates for establishing uniform criteria to enhance early identification and intervention. A review of existing early intervention programs highlights the effectiveness of various approaches, yet also underscores the challenges faced in implementation, including resource limitations, public awareness, and cultural barriers. The paper emphasises the importance of family involvement in the intervention process, noting that empowered families can significantly influence developmental outcomes. In conclusion, establishing standardised diagnostic methods and comprehensive early intervention programs is essential for supporting children with developmental disorders. By fostering collaboration among stakeholders and empowering families, we can create a more equitable framework that enhances developmental outcomes for all children. By addressing these interconnected issues, this paper contributes to the ongoing discourse on improving early intervention services for children with developmental disorders, advocating for a more equitable and practical framework that supports the diverse needs of children and their families.

Keywords: *Early Intervention, Developmental Disorders, Standardised Diagnosis; Family Support.*

Introduction

The early years of a child's life are crucial for cognitive, emotional and social development. However, many children face significant challenges due to developmental disorders, which can hinder their ability to thrive in various aspects of life (Papadopoulos, 2021). Developmental disorders encompass a range of conditions, including autism spectrum disorder, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), and learning disabilities (de Lima et al., 2023; Rubin et al., 2023). These conditions affect the individual child and place a considerable burden on families and society as a whole. Despite advancements in our understanding of these disorders, the need for timely and effective interventions remains paramount. Early intervention programs have emerged as a vital strategy for addressing the developmental needs of children diagnosed with these conditions. Research has consistently shown that early diagnosis and targeted intervention can improve outcomes, helping children develop essential skills and mitigating the long-term effects of their disorders (Colizzi et al., 2020; Saharoy et al., 2023; Wakschlag et al., 2019).

However, the landscape of early intervention is fraught with challenges. Variability in diagnostic methods, access to resources, and the integration of family support can influence the effectiveness of these programs (Waid & Kelly, 2020). As such, there is a pressing need to critically evaluate current practices and advocate for standardised approaches that ensure all children receive the support they need.

Early intervention refers to services and support for young children exhibiting developmental delays or disorders (Hadders-Algra, 2021; Smythe et al., 2021). The importance of early intervention in developmental disorders cannot be overstated, as it plays a critical role in shaping the long-term outcomes for affected children and their families. The early years of life are characterised by rapid brain development. During this period, children form the foundational cognitive, emotional, and social skills necessary for future learning and interactions. Early intervention leverages this critical developmental window, allowing for targeted support that can significantly influence a child's developmental trajectory. Research indicates that interventions provided before age three can substantially improve skills more than those initiated later (Jeong et al., 2021; Rogers et al., 2019). Studies have demonstrated that early intervention can lead to better developmental, educational, and social outcomes for

children with developmental disorders (Fuller & Kaiser, 2020; Yoshikawa et al., 2020). For instance, children who receive early support in areas such as speech, language, and social skills tend to improve their ability to communicate and interact with peers significantly. These positive outcomes extend into their school years and beyond, often resulting in enhanced academic performance and social integration.

Early intervention can dramatically reduce the severity of developmental disorders and help mitigate their long-term effects (Colizzi et al., 2020). By providing appropriate therapies and support early on, children are less likely to experience the profound challenges associated with untreated developmental issues. This proactive approach can decrease the need for more intensive interventions later in life, ultimately saving resources and reducing the burden on families and healthcare systems. Families of children with developmental disorders often experience emotional and financial stress. Early intervention programs focus on the child and provide essential support and resources for families.

This includes guidance on implementing strategies at home, access to community resources, and emotional support. By empowering families, early intervention fosters a collaborative environment that enhances the effectiveness of the interventions and strengthens familial bonds. Early intervention is vital in promoting inclusivity within educational and social settings. By addressing developmental challenges early, children can participate more fully in mainstream educational environments, reducing stigma and fostering acceptance among peers. This inclusivity benefits the child with a developmental disorder and enriches the learning environment for all children. Investing in early intervention has significant economic implications.

Research shows that every dollar spent on early intervention can yield substantial savings in special education costs, healthcare, and social services down the line (Carnoy & Marachi, 2020; Davis & Sojourner, 2021). Early support programs can alleviate financial burdens on families and public resources by reducing the need for more extensive interventions later. As awareness of the importance of early intervention grows, policymakers, educators, and healthcare providers need to prioritise these programs, ensuring that all children can reach their full potential.

Contextual Background

Developmental disorders manifest during the developmental period, typically before a child reaches the age of five (Rosen et al., 2021). These disorders can affect a child's cognitive, emotional, social, and physical development. Rong et al. (2021) revealed common examples such as autism spectrum disorder (ASD), attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), learning disabilities, and language disorders. The severity and specific characteristics of these disorders can vary widely, ranging from mild to profound impairments. Early identification and intervention are crucial, as these disorders can significantly impact a child's ability to learn, interact with others, and navigate daily life. The concept of early intervention has evolved dramatically over the decades. Initially, the focus on developmental disorders was limited, with many children receiving little to no support. In the mid-20th century, increased awareness of these disorders led to establishing specialised programs to promote early identification and intervention (Nguyen-Finn, 2024). Pioneering research demonstrated that early support could lead to better developmental outcomes, laying the groundwork for modern practices.

In the 1970s and 1980s, legislation like the Education for All Handicapped Children Act (now the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act) promoted the rights of disabled children to education and services. This shift marked a turning point, as it recognised the importance of early intervention and laid the foundation for comprehensive service delivery systems. Today, early intervention is viewed as a critical component of care for children with developmental disorders, informed by decades of research and advocacy. In recent years, there have been significant advancements in diagnosing and treating developmental disorders (Genovese & Butler, 2020). The increasing prevalence of conditions such as autism has led to greater emphasis on early screening and assessment. Healthcare providers are now utilising various tools, including standardised assessments and observational measures, to identify developmental delays at an earlier age. Current treatment approaches are increasingly evidence-based, incorporating a range of therapeutic modalities tailored to individual needs. These may include behavioural therapies, speech and language therapy, occupational therapy, and educational interventions. Additionally, there is a growing recognition of the importance of family-centred care, which emphasises the role of families in

the intervention process and provides them with the resources and support necessary to foster their child's development (Carrington et al., 2021). Moreover, technology plays an increasingly vital role in diagnosis and treatment. Telehealth services have expanded access to intervention programs, particularly in underserved areas, and mobile applications are being developed to assist in monitoring progress and providing resources to families. Understanding this context is essential for appreciating the ongoing need for effective interventions and support systems that can positively impact the lives of children with developmental disorders and their families.

Results

1. The Need for Standardised Diagnostic Methods

The variability in diagnostic practices for developmental disorders highlights a pressing need for standardised methods across healthcare and educational systems. Currently, the lack of consistency in diagnosing conditions such as autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) can lead to significant disparities in access to early intervention services (Aylward et al., 2021). Different practitioners may use varying criteria, tools, and timelines for diagnosis, resulting in children receiving support at various ages or, in some cases, not at all. Standardised diagnostic methods ensure that all children are assessed using the same criteria, which promotes early identification and timely intervention (Lipkin et al., 2020; Okoye et al., 2023). By implementing uniform guidelines, we can reduce the risk of misdiagnosis and ensure that children receive appropriate services based on their specific needs. Furthermore, standardised methods enhance communication among professionals and facilitate research on the efficacy of interventions, ultimately leading to improved outcomes for children with developmental disorders (Fitzpatrick, 2023). In advocating for such standards, we streamline the diagnostic process and strengthen the foundation for comprehensive and effective early intervention programs.

Comprehensive early intervention programs are essential for addressing the multifaceted needs of children with developmental disorders. These programs should encompass a variety of services, including behavioural therapy, speech and language therapy, occupational therapy, and family support services. A holistic approach recognises that

children develop in interconnected domains and that targeted interventions across these areas can lead to more significant improvements (Stodden et al., 2023). Moreover, early intervention programs must be accessible and tailored to meet the diverse needs of children and families. This includes providing services in various settings, such as homes, schools, and community centres, and ensuring that interventions are culturally responsive and inclusive. Advocacy for comprehensive programs also raises awareness among policymakers and stakeholders about the long-term benefits of investing in early intervention. Research consistently shows that early support enhances developmental outcomes and reduces the need for more intensive services later in life, making a compelling case for allocating resources to these critical programs (Kube et al., 2019; Kube et al., 2023).

Family support is a crucial component of successful early intervention for children with developmental disorders. The involvement of families in the intervention process not only enhances the effectiveness of the programs but also empowers parents and caregivers to become advocates for their children. Research indicates that children are more likely to achieve positive developmental outcomes when families are engaged and supported (Iruka et al., 2022; Xu, 2020). Family support can take various forms, such as training and education on implementing interventions at home, access to resources, and emotional support. Programs that provide families with the tools they need to foster their child's development create a collaborative environment that enhances the overall effectiveness of early intervention efforts (Bricker et al., 2022). Furthermore, family involvement helps ensure that interventions align with the child's unique needs and cultural context, making them more relevant and impactful (Ball et al., 2021). By addressing these interconnected areas, we can create a more equitable and practical framework for supporting children and their families, ultimately leading to better developmental outcomes and a brighter future.

2. Review of Existing Early Intervention Programs

A comprehensive review of existing early intervention programs reveals diverse practices and approaches tailored to support children with developmental disorders. Programs vary widely in structure, methodologies, and target populations, reflecting the complexity of developmental disorders (Lord et al., 2020). Some programs focus primarily on

specific interventions, such as Applied Behaviour Analysis (ABA) for children with autism. In contrast, others adopt a more holistic approach, integrating multiple therapies to address various developmental domains. Key successful programs, such as the Early Start Denver Model and Parent-Child Interaction Therapy, have shown promising results in improving developmental outcomes (Giang, 2021; Waddington et al., 2021). These programs emphasise early engagement, parental involvement, and individualised treatment plans, demonstrating that tailored approaches can significantly enhance children's skills and competencies. However, despite the successes of many existing programs, there remains a lack of consistency in implementation and accessibility. Variability in resources, training, and staff qualifications can lead to disparities in the services provided, resulting in unequal access to effective interventions for children across different socioeconomic and geographic contexts (Cullinan et al., 2021).

The efficacy of diagnostic approaches for developmental disorders plays a pivotal role in determining the success of early intervention programs. Current diagnostic methods range from observational assessments to standardised tests, each with strengths and weaknesses. While some approaches, such as comprehensive developmental screenings, are effective in identifying children in need of support, others may lead to delayed or inaccurate diagnoses. Research indicates that early, accurate diagnosis is critical for timely intervention (Liss et al., 2021). Standardised diagnostic tools, such as the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS) and the Child Behaviour Checklist (CBCL), have been shown to enhance identification rates and inform intervention strategies (Au et al., 2021; Hudock & Esler, 2022). However, reliance on subjective assessments can sometimes result in inconsistencies and misdiagnoses, underscoring the need for standardised criteria and practitioner training. Furthermore, evolving developmental disorders necessitate ongoing evaluation and adaptation of diagnostic approaches. As our understanding of these conditions deepens, so must the methodologies used to identify them. This dynamic landscape calls for a commitment to continuous improvement in diagnostic practices, ensuring that all children receive the support they need as early as possible.

3. Challenges and Barriers to Implementation

Despite the clear benefits of early intervention programs, several challenges and barriers hinder their effective implementation. One major obstacle is the lack of resources, particularly in underserved communities. Limited funding and availability of qualified professionals can result in long wait times for assessments and services, leaving many children without timely support. Additionally, there is often a lack of awareness and understanding among parents and caregivers regarding developmental disorders and the importance of early intervention. This knowledge gap can lead to delays in seeking help, further complicating the identification and treatment process. Public awareness campaigns and educational initiatives are essential to bridge this gap and empower families to advocate for their children's needs. Cultural factors also play a significant role in the accessibility and acceptance of early intervention services. Some families may face stigma or cultural barriers that prevent them from accessing available resources. It is crucial for programs to adopt culturally responsive practices that respect and incorporate the values and beliefs of diverse communities. Addressing these challenges is essential for ensuring that all children with developmental disorders receive the support they need to thrive. By critically analysing these aspects, we can identify opportunities for improvement and advocate for policies that enhance the effectiveness and accessibility of early intervention services.

4. Implications for Policy and Practice

The findings from this analysis underscore the critical importance of establishing standardised diagnostic methods and comprehensive early intervention programs for children with developmental disorders. From a policy perspective, there is a pressing need for legislative frameworks that mandate uniform diagnostic criteria across healthcare and educational settings. Such policies would enhance the consistency of diagnoses and ensure that all children have equitable access to early intervention services. Moreover, integrating early intervention programs into existing healthcare and educational systems can facilitate more seamless access for families. Policymakers must prioritise funding for these programs, particularly in underserved communities with limited resources. Early intervention can reduce long-term special education and healthcare costs, benefiting society. Practically,

training and professional development for practitioners in early intervention are essential. Ensuring all professionals have the knowledge and skills to implement evidence-based practices can enhance service quality. Additionally, fostering collaboration among healthcare providers, educators, and families will create a more cohesive support system for children with developmental disorders.

5. Recommendations for Stakeholders

Stakeholders, including educators, healthcare providers, policymakers, and families, play a vital role in improving early intervention practices. First, stakeholders should advocate for adopting standardised diagnostic tools and protocols. This includes supporting initiatives that promote training for practitioners on using these tools and raising awareness about the importance of early identification. Families must be empowered with information and resources to recognise developmental delays and seek help promptly. Public awareness campaigns highlighting the signs of developmental disorders and the benefits of early intervention can encourage families to act. Community support networks can also connect families to valuable resources and foster a sense of belonging. For educators, implementing inclusive practices within classrooms can support the needs of children with developmental disorders. Professional development focused on understanding these disorders and effective teaching strategies can equip educators to create an environment conducive to all learners.

Materials and Methods

The research utilises a qualitative approach grounded in a review of existing literature and expert opinions. This design allows for an in-depth exploration of early intervention's complexities, encompassing varying perspectives from practitioners, researchers, and families. The qualitative framework facilitates a nuanced understanding of how early intervention impacts developmental outcomes and highlights the challenges faced by stakeholders in the field. A systematic review of peer-reviewed articles, clinical studies, and meta-analyses was conducted to gather evidence on the effectiveness of early intervention programs. Sources were selected based on their relevance, credibility, and contributions to understanding developmental disorders and intervention strategies.

The literature review included a comparative analysis of different intervention programs and diagnostic methods. This involved evaluating various approaches' efficacy and identifying current research's best practices and gaps. The final step involved synthesising the insights from the literature review, expert interviews, and family surveys. This integrative analysis provided a comprehensive overview of the current state of early intervention, supporting the position taken in the paper. The research method utilised in this paper is designed to provide a thorough and well-rounded analysis of early intervention programs for children with developmental disorders. By employing a qualitative approach that combines literature review and expert insights, the paper presents a compelling argument for the necessity of standardised practices and the importance of family involvement in these critical interventions. This comprehensive methodology strengthens the position statement and contributes to ongoing discourse in the field.

Conclusions

This paper has explored the critical importance of early intervention for children with developmental disorders, emphasising the need for standardised diagnostic methods, comprehensive intervention programs, and robust family support systems. Reviewing existing early intervention programs reveals a wide range of practices, each with varying degrees of effectiveness. The efficacy of diagnostic approaches is paramount, as accurate and timely identification directly influences the success of interventions. However, challenges such as resource limitations, public awareness, and cultural barriers hinder the implementation of effective early intervention strategies. The analysis highlights that consistent and standardised diagnostic methods can mitigate disparities in service access. At the same time, comprehensive early intervention programs can better address the multifaceted needs of children and families. Furthermore, the involvement of families is crucial for enhancing developmental outcomes, necessitating a focus on empowering and educating parents and caregivers. The implications for policy and practice underscore the need for legislative support, funding, and professional development to create a cohesive support system for children with developmental disorders.

In light of these findings, a collective call to action is essential for all stakeholders caring for and supporting children with developmental disorders. Policymakers must prioritise establishing standardised diagnostic criteria and allocate sufficient resources to early intervention programs, especially in underserved communities. Educators and healthcare providers should engage in continuous professional development to implement evidence-based practices effectively. Families must be empowered with knowledge and resources to advocate for their children's needs. Public awareness campaigns can play a vital role in educating communities about the importance of early identification and intervention, fostering a culture of support and understanding. Finally, researchers are encouraged to explore innovative approaches to early intervention, including integrating technology and longitudinal studies to assess long-term outcomes. By working collaboratively, stakeholders can create a more equitable and practical framework for early intervention, ensuring that all children with developmental disorders have the opportunity to thrive. Together, we can make significant strides in improving these children's and their families' lives, paving the way for a brighter future.

References

- Au, A. H. C., Shum, K. K. M., Cheng, Y., Tse, H. M. Y., Wong, R. M. F., Li, J., & Au, T. K. F. (2021). Autism spectrum disorder screening in preschools. *Autism*, 25(2), 516-528. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361320967529>
- Aylward, B. S., Gal-Szabo, D. E., & Taraman, S. (2021). Racial, ethnic, and sociodemographic disparities in the diagnosis of children with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Developmental & Behavioural Paediatrics*, 42(8), 682-689. <https://doi.org/10.1097/DBP.0000000000000996>
- Ball, A., Skrzypek, C., & Lynch, M. (2021). The family engagement practice framework: A comprehensive framework developed from the voices of school-based practitioners. *Family Relations*, 70(4), 1190-1205. <https://doi.org/10.1111/fare.12513>
- Bricker, D. D., Felimban, H. S., Lin, F. Y., Stegenga, S. M., & Storie, S. O. M. (2022). A proposed framework for enhancing collaboration in early intervention/early childhood special education. *Topics in Early Childhood Special Education*, 41(4), 240-252. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0271121419890683>

- Carnoy, M., & Marachi, R. (2020). Investing for "Impact" or Investing for Profit? Social Impact Bonds, Pay for Success, and the Next Wave of Privatisation of Social Services and Education. *National Education Policy Centre*.
- Carrington, L., Hale, L., Freeman, C., Qureshi, A., & Perry, M. (2021). Family-centred care for children with Biopsychosocial Support needs: a scoping review. *Disabilities*, 1(4), 301–330. <https://doi.org/10.3390/disabilities1040022>
- Chlebowski, C., Hurwich-Reiss, E., Wright, B., & Brookman-Frazee, L. (2020). Using stakeholder perspectives to guide systematic adaptation of an autism mental health intervention for Latinx families: A qualitative study. *Journal of Community Psychology*, 48(4), 1194-1214. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcop.22296>
- Colizzi, M., Lasalvia, A., & Ruggeri, M. (2020). Prevention and early intervention in youth mental health: Is it time for a multidisciplinary and trans-diagnostic model for care? *International journal of mental health systems*, 14, 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13033-020-00356-9>
- Cullinan, J., Flannery, D., Harold, J., Lyons, S., & Palcic, D. (2021). The disconnected: COVID-19 and disparities in access to quality broadband for higher education students. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 18, 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00262-1>
- Davis, E. E., & Sojourner, A. (2021). Increasing federal investment in children's early care and education to raise quality, access, and affordability. *Washington, DC: Hamilton Project*.
- de Lima, T. A., Zuanetti, P. A., Nunes, M. E. N., & Hamad, A. P. A. (2023). Differential diagnosis between autism spectrum disorder and other developmental disorders with emphasis on the preschool period. *World Journal of Paediatrics*, 19(8), 715-726. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12519-022-00629-y>
- Fitzpatrick, P. J. (2023). Improving health literacy using the power of digital communications to achieve better health outcomes for patients and practitioners. *Frontiers in Digital Health*, 5, 1264780. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fdgth.2023.1264780>
- Fuller, E. A., & Kaiser, A. P. (2020). The effects of early intervention on social communication outcomes for children with autism spectrum disorder: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 50(5), 1683-1700. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-019-03927-z>
- Genovese, A., & Butler, M. G. (2020). Clinical assessment, genetics, and treatment approaches in autism spectrum disorder (ASD). *International journal of molecular sciences*, 21(13), 4726. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21134726>

- Giang, C. (2021). *The Effects of Parent-Mediated Early Start Denver Model on Social Communication Skills in Children Diagnosed with Autism Spectrum Disorder under the Age of Four* (Master's thesis, Weill Medical College of Cornell University).
- Hadders-Algra, M. (2021). Early diagnostics and early intervention in neurodevelopmental disorders—age-dependent challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 10(4), 861. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10040861>
- Hudock, R. L., & Esler, A. N. (2022). Clinical considerations when conducting diagnostic evaluations to identify autism spectrum disorder in young children. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 36(5), 921-942. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13854046.2022.2025907>
- Iruka, I. U., Cabrera, N., & Páez, M. (2022). Supporting and engaging with diverse families during the early years: Emerging approaches that matter for children and families. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 60, 390-393. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecresq.2022.04.001>
- Jeong, J., Franchett, E. E., Ramos de Oliveira, C. V., Rehmani, K., & Yousafzai, A. K. (2021). Parenting interventions to promote early child development in the first three years of life: A global systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Medicine*, 18(5), e1003602. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003602>
- Kube, A. R., Das, S., & Fowler, P. J. (2023). Fair and efficient allocation of scarce resources based on predicted outcomes: implications for homeless service delivery. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 76, 1219–1245. <https://doi.org/10.1613/jair.1.12847>
- Kube, A., Das, S., & Fowler, P. J. (2019, July). Allocating interventions based on predicted outcomes: A case study on homelessness services. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence* 33(1), 622–629. <https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v33i01.3301622>
- Lipkin, P.H., Macias, M.M., Norwood, K.W., Brei, T.J., Davidson, L.F., Davis, B.E., Ellerbeck, K.A., Houtrow, A.J., Hyman, S.L., Kuo, D.Z. & Noritz, G.H. (2020). Promoting optimal development: identifying infants and young children with developmental disorders through developmental surveillance and screening. *Pediatrics*, 145(1). <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2019-3449>
- Liss, J.L., Seleri Assunção, S., Cummings, J., Atri, A., Geldmacher, D.S., Candela, S.F., Devanand, D.P., Fillit, H.M., Susman, J., Mintzer, J. & Bittner, T. (2021). Practical recommendations for timely, accurate diagnosis of symptomatic Alzheimer's disease (MCI and dementia) in primary care: a review and synthesis. *Journal of Internal Medicine*, 290(2), 310-334. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joim.13244>
- Lord, C., Brugha, T.S., Charman, T., Cusack, J., Dumas, G., Frazier, T., Jones, E.J., Jones, R.M., Pickles, A., State, M.W. & Taylor, J.L. (2020). Autism spectrum disorder. *Nature reviews Disease primers*, 6(1), 5. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-019-0138-4>

- Nguyen-Finn, K. L. (2024). History of treatment toward persons with psychiatric disabilities. *The psychological and social impact of chronic illness and disability*, 37–54. <https://doi.org/10.1891/9780826151117.0003>
- Okoye, C., Obialo-Ibeawuchi, C.M., Obajeun, O.A., Sarwar, S., Tawfik, C., Waleed, M.S., Wasim, A.U., Mohamoud, I., Afolayan, A.Y. & Mbaezue, R.N. (2023). Early diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder: a review and analysis of the risks and benefits. *Cureus*, 15(8). <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.43226>
- Papadopoulos, D. (2021). Mothers' experiences and challenges raising a child with autism spectrum disorder: A qualitative study. *Brain sciences*, 11(3), 309. <https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci11030309>
- Rogers, S.J., Estes, A., Vismara, L., Munson, J., Zierhut, C., Greenson, J., Dawson, G., Rocha, M., Sugar, C., Senturk, D. & Whelan, F. (2019). Enhancing low-intensity coaching in parent-implemented Early Start Denver Model intervention for early autism: A randomised comparison treatment trial. *Journal of autism and developmental disorders*, 49, 632–646. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-018-3740-5>
- Rong, Y., Yang, C. J., Jin, Y., & Wang, Y. (2021). Prevalence of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in individuals with autism spectrum disorder: A meta-analysis. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 83, 101759. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2021.101759>
- Rosen, N. E., Lord, C., & Volkmar, F. R. (2021). The diagnosis of autism: From Kanner to DSM-III to DSM-5 and beyond. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 51, 4253–4270. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-021-04904-1>
- Rubin, I. L., Coles, C. D., Barnhill, J., Coles, C. D., & Barnhill, J. (2023). Behavioural and Mental Health Disorders (Including Attentional Disorders). In *Neurodevelopmental Pediatrics: Genetic and Environmental Influences* (pp. 655–674). Cham: Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-20792-1_40
- Saharoy, R., Potdukhe, A., Wanjari, M., & Taksande, A. B. (2023). Postpartum depression and maternal care: exploring the complex effects on mothers and infants. *Cureus*, 15(7). <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.41381>
- Smythe, T., Zuurmond, M., Tann, C. J., Gladstone, M., & Kuper, H. (2021). Early intervention for children with developmental disabilities in low and middle-income countries—the case for action. *International health*, 13(3), 222–231. <https://doi.org/10.1093/inthealth/ihaa044>
- Stodden, D.F., Pesce, C., Zarrett, N., Tomporowski, P., Ben-Soussan, T.D., Brian, A., Abrams, T.C. & Weist, M.D. (2023). Holistic functioning from a developmental perspective: A new synthesis with a focus on a multi-tiered system support structure. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*, 26(2), 343–361. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10567-023-00428-5>

- Waddington, H., van der Meer, L., & Sigafos, J. (2021). Supporting parents in the use of the early start Denver model as an intervention program for their young children with autism spectrum disorder. *International Journal of Developmental Disabilities*, 67(1), 23-36. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20473869.2019.1585694>
- Waid, J., & Kelly, M. (2020). Supporting family engagement with child and adolescent mental health services: A scoping review. *Health & social care in the community*, 28(5), 1333–1342. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hsc.12947>
- Wakschlag, L.S., Roberts, M.Y., Flynn, R.M., Smith, J.D., Krogh-Jespersen, S., Kaat, A.J., Gray, L., Walkup, J., Marino, B.S., Norton, E.S. & Davis, M.M. (2019). Future directions for early childhood prevention of mental disorders: A road map to mental health, earlier. *Journal of Clinical Child & Adolescent Psychology*, 48(3), 539-554. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15374416.2018.1561296>
- Xu, Y. (2020). Engaging families of young children with disabilities through family-school-community partnerships. *Early Child Development and Care*, 190(12), 1959-1968. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03004430.2018.1552950>
- Yoshikawa, H., Wuermli, A.J., Britto, P.R., Dreyer, B., Leckman, J.F., Lye, S.J., Ponguta, L.A., Richter, L.M. & Stein, A. (2020). Effects of the global coronavirus disease-2019 pandemic on early childhood development: short-and long-term risks and mitigating program and policy actions. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, 223, 188-193. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2020.05.020>